For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	TERRELL D. CURRY, No. C-09-3408 EMC (pr)
9	Plaintiff,
10	ORDER PROVIDING RAND SUMMARY JUDGMENT NOTICE
11	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al.,
12	Defendants.
13	/
14	
15	A recent decision from the Ninth Circuit requires that <i>pro se</i> prisoner-plaintiffs be given
16	"notice of what is required of them in order to oppose" summary judgment motions at the time of
17	filing of the motions, rather than when the Court orders service of process or otherwise before the
18	motions are filed. Woods v. Carey, No. 09-15548, slip op. 7871, 7874 (9th Cir. July 6, 2012).
19	Accordingly, the Court now provides the following notice to Plaintiff for his information in
20	connection with the Defendants' pending motion for summary judgment:
21	The defendants have made a motion for summary judgment by which they seek to have your case dismissed. A motion for summary
22	judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case.
23	
24	Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must
25	be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of
26	your case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party
27	you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot
28	simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out

or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendants' declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.

Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 962-63 (9th Cir. 1998).

As Plaintiff's opposition deadline for the pending motion for summary judgment is August 10, 2012, Plaintiff has ample time to prepare his opposition taking into account this *Rand* summary judgment notice (which repeats the Rand summary judgment notice provided in the March 9, 2011 Order, p. 7). No adjustment of the briefing schedule is necessary.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 9, 2012

ARD M. CHEN United States District Judge